

## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

# CABINET

# 18 SEPTEMBER 2023

### PROPOSED EXTENSION TO RHONDDA CYNON TAF CBC'S DOG CONTROL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDERS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF LEGAL & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH, PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES AND DIRECTOR OF HIGHWAYS, STREETCATRE AND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDERS, COUNCILLORS M.WEBBER, B. HARRIS AND A. CRIMMINGS

## 1. PURPOSE

- 1.1 The two Public Spaces Protection Orders related to dog controls within Rhondda Cynon Taf are due to expire on the 30<sup>th</sup> September 2023. At any point before expiry of these orders, the Council can vary or extend them by up to three years if it considers it necessary to prevent the original behaviour the orders were attempting to address from occurring or recurring.
- 1.2 The purpose of the report is to (i) inform Members of the outcomes of the public consultation exercise initiated by Cabinet in relation to the dog control public spaces protection orders and (ii) seek authority to extend the two Public Spaces Protection Orders relating to dog controls in Rhondda Cynon Taf (the 'Dog Control PSPOs'), subject to any amendments Members may wish to consider in response to the consultation.

## 2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

It is recommended that Cabinet:

- 2.1 Notes the overwhelming public support in the consultation for the extension of the Dog Control PSPO's relating to Dog Controls within Rhondda Cynon Taf for a further 3 year period from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2023;
- 2.2 Considers the responses to the public consultation, as detailed in Appendix 1, together with the Equality & Welsh Language Impact Assessments at Appendices 2 and 3, and determines whether any amendments are required to the existing prohibitions in relation to the PSPO's as detailed in Appendices 4A and 4B to the report;
- 2.3 Subject to 2.2 above, extends the Dog Control PSPOs as detailed in Appendix 4A and 4B to the report; and
- 2.4 Subject to 2.3 above, gives delegated authority to the Director of Public Health, Protection and Community Services, in consultation with the Group Director,



Finance, Digital and Frontline Services, to produce the final PSPOs relating to Dog Controls and ensure their publication on the Council's website.

## 3. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 Dog fouling remains a significant concern for the Council and for those who live, work and visit the County Borough. Dog Fouling is unpleasant and is a serious risk to human health, particularly amongst children.
- 3.2 The Dog Control PSPO's have allowed the Council to introduce a range of reasonable and proportionate restrictions on the use of publicly accessible land across the County Borough and helped control the harmful activities of irresponsible dog owners whilst allowing responsible dog owners to continue to exercise their dogs without undue restrictions.
- 3.3 Despite the introduction of the orders in October 2017 and their renewal in October 2020 there remains a minority of dog owners who do not clean up after their dogs or keep them under control. Officers therefore consider it vital the orders, which would ordinarily expire on 30<sup>th</sup> September 2023, are renewed for a further period in order to maintain the significant benefits the orders have had in relation to dog fouling and ensure appropriate powers remain in place to deal with the minority who continue to flout the laws.

## 4. BACKGROUND

- 4.1 Dog fouling is unsightly, unpleasant and can lead to toxocariasis in humans. Toxocariasis causes serious illness and even blindness. It is caused by a parasite that lives in dogs' digestive systems. Eggs are present in the faeces of infected animals. If infected material is ingested, the eggs hatch into larvae and can cause toxocariasis. The disease can be controlled if dog faeces are disposed of immediately in a responsible manner.
- 4.2 The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime & Policing Act 2014 (the 'Act') introduced provisions whereby a local authority can make Public Spaces Protection Orders ('PSPOs'). A PSPO is designed to deal with a particular nuisance or problem in an area. The behaviour must be having a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the community, it must be persistent or continuing and it must be unreasonable. PSPOs are designed to ensure that the law-abiding majority can enjoy public space, safe from anti-social behaviour.
- 4.3 The majority of dog owners are responsible, clean up after their dogs and keep them under control. However, a minority of irresponsible dog owners create significant problems. The Council receives many complaints each year about dog fouling in public places. In addition, despite the introduction of the Dog Control PSPO's some of our playing fields need to be checked for dog fouling before they can be used; on occasion individuals wishing to use the playing fields for sport are doing this.

## 5. CURRENT DOG CONTROL PSPOs



5.1 The following two Public Space Protection Orders came into effect on 1<sup>st</sup> October 2020:

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL (DOG CONTROL) PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDER 2020

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL (DOG CONTROL – ABERDARE PUBLIC PARK) PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDER 2020

(the "Dog Control PSPO's")

- 5.2 This report reviews the impacts of the Dog Control PSPOs and considers the case for extending them for a further three years, as permitted by the Act following the completion of a public consultation exercise.
- 5.3 The original introduction of the Dog Control PSPOs created transparency and consistency within Rhondda Cynon Taf and gave authorised officers the ability to issue fixed penalty notices for offences that were not able to be previously enforced.
- 5.4 The activities prohibited by the Dog Control PSPOs are:
  - (i) The prohibition of Dog Fouling in all Public Places within Rhondda Cynon Taf;
  - (ii) A requirement for a person in charge of a dog to keep that dog on a lead at all times in Cemeteries owned and/or maintained by the Council;
  - (iii) A requirement for a person in charge of a dog at all times to carry bags or other suitable means for the disposal of dog faeces;
  - (iv) A requirement for a person in charge of a dog to follow a direction given by an Authorised Officer, if they deem reasonably necessary, that a dog be put and kept on a lead in a Public Place within Rhondda Cynon Taf for such period and/or in such circumstances as directed by the Authorised Officer; and
  - (v) A prohibition excluding dogs from all Schools, Playgrounds and Marked Sports Pitches owned and/or maintained by the Council and certain Community Council sites as set out in the Order.
- 5.5 In relation to the Dog Control PSPO covering Aberdare Park there is a specific requirement for a person in charge of a dog to keep that dog on a lead at all times in Aberdare Public Park. This requirement is consistent with existing provisions that have been in place since 1866 (local bye law) and with the expectations of many users of the park. Further detail in respect of this particular requirement can be found in the report presented to Cabinet in <u>September 2017</u> prior to the original commencement of the PSPO.
- 5.6 The Dog Control PSPOs were not put forward as a means of unduly restricting the exercising or recreation of dogs across the County Borough. The reason for making the Dog Control PSPOs was to address the detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality caused by the irresponsible behaviour of a



small minority of dog owners; and to set out a clear standard of behaviour to which all dog owners were required to adhere.

5.7 The Council employs a dedicated team of Wardens who patrol the County Borough with powers to enforce the Dog Control PSPOs in line with the Council's Enforcement Policy The penalty for committing an offence of failing to comply with a PSPO without reasonable excuse is a maximum fine of level 3 on the standard scale (currently £1,000). Alternatively, the opportunity to pay a fixed penalty of £100 may be offered in place of prosecution. The fixed penalty of a £100 is the maximum amount which can be levied.

### 6. EXTENSION OF THE DOG CONTROL PSPOS

- 6.1 At any point before expiry of the Dog Control PSPOs the Council can extend them by up to three years if it considers it is necessary to prevent the original behaviour from occurring or recurring.
- 6.2 According to section 60(2) of the Act, before the time when a PSPO is due to expire, the local authority that made the PSPO may extend the period for which it has effect if satisfied on reasonable grounds that doing so is necessary to prevent-
  - 1. Occurrence or recurrence after that time of the activities identified in the Order, or
  - 2. An increase in the frequency or seriousness of those activities after that time.
- 6.3 Section 61 of the Act makes provision for the Order to be varied by increasing or reducing the affected area, or by altering or removing a prohibition or requirement included in the Order or by adding a new one. For an order to be able to be varied, the Council must be satisfied that, on reasonable grounds, the following two conditions are met.

The first condition is that:

a. Activities carried on in a public place within the Council's area have had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality; or,

b. It is likely that activities will be carried on in a public place within that area and that they will have such an effect.

The second condition is that the effect or likely effect, of the activities:

a. Is or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature;

b. Is, or is likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable; and,

c. Justifies the restrictions imposed by the notice.

6.4 When the existing PSPO was renewed in 2020 a number of Community Council owned sites were also included within it as a result of consultation feedback and requests from Community Councils.



- 6.5 If the Dog Control PSPOs are to be extended this must be done before the time the Orders are due to expire, on 30<sup>th</sup> September 2023. In the event of the orders not being extended, they would lapse on 1<sup>st</sup> October 2023 at which point there would be no restrictions on dogs in Rhondda Cynon Taf (save for any enforceable local byelaws).
- 6.6 Officers consider it reasonable to assume that anti-social behaviour in regards to dog controls in the restricted areas would reoccur, and/or increase in the frequency and/or seriousness if the Orders are not extended. There would continue to be a positive effect on local environmental quality with the proposed extended and varied orders and continued enforcement against dog fouling and irresponsible dog owners.
- 6.7 Consultation feedback received prior to the introduction of the Dog Control PSPOs in <u>2017</u> and when it was renewed in <u>2020</u> highlighted that there was overwhelming public support for the introduction of the orders and prohibitions and requirements in relation to the control of dogs. Over 90% of respondents supported the Council's proposed approach to dealing with dog fouling and that dog fouling should be prohibited.
- 6.8 A report published by Keep Wales Tidy entitled 'An Analysis of Local Environmental Quality in RCT 2022-23' shows that the presence of dog fouling on streets in RCT has remained consistent (at 10.9%) when compared to the period the PSPO was last renewed in 2020. It is worth noting however that in 2021-22 the presence of dog fouling was recorded on 8.9% of streets so the most recent report does unfortunately evidence an increase. Prior to the original introduction of the PSPO in 2017 this figure was around the 18% mark. The report also shows that instances of dog fouling are generally spread evenly across the County Borough. This report does therefore demonstrate that since its introduction in 2017 the PSPO has led to a reduction in the presence of dog fouling on the streets of RCT but also highlights it still remains an issue.
- 6.9 The Council has issued more than 1,000 fixed penalty notices for breaches of the Dog Control PSPO's since their introduction in October 2017.
- 6.10 Factoring in the above officer's proposed to Cabinet an extension to the Dog Control PSPOs, for a further period of three years from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2023, in the form set out at Appendix 4A and 4B to this report.
- 6.11 At its meeting on 28<sup>th</sup> June 2023 the Cabinet agreed to initiate a six week public consultation exercise on a proposal to extend the Dog Control PSPO's for a further 3 year period from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2023.

## 7. <u>CONSULTATION</u>

7.1 The consultation on the proposed extension to the Dog Control PSPOs ran for a six week period from 10<sup>th</sup> July to 18<sup>th</sup> August 2023. The full consultation report can be found at Appendix 1 to the report. The aim of the consultation was to



gather the views of residents and other relevant bodies and interested parties on proposals to renew a Public Spaces Protection Order, with regards to dog fouling in Rhondda Cynon Taf.

7.2 The methodology adopted included an online questionnaire and a webpage outlining the proposal to extend the Dog Control PSPOs. Promotion was via posters in key Council facilities (including parks), social media and the press. A short video was also produced and placed on the website and social media. There was also engagement with key stakeholders and the <u>Council's Overview</u> and <u>Scrutiny Committee</u>. A telephone consultation option was in place, through the Council's contact centre. This option allows people to discuss their views or request consultation materials. Individual call backs were available on request and a consultation Freepost address allowed postal responses. Overall, more than 320 people were engaged in the consultation process.

### 7.3 Consultation Key Findings

- 88.3% of respondents to the online survey said they supported the Council's approach to dealing with dog fouling.
- There was wide scale support, with over 89% of respondents agreeing with each of the elements of the Dog Control PSPOs and that they should be continued over the next 3 years.

	Agreed
A.)Dog owners MUST clean up their dogs' mess immediately and dispose of it properly.	98.1%
B.)Dog owners MUST carry a means to pick up dog mess (i.e. bags) at all times.	97.2%
C.)Dog owners MUST follow a direction from an authorised officer to put a dog on a lead.	92.7%
D.)Dogs are BANNED from all schools, children's play areas and marked sports pitches maintained by the Council.	89.2%
E.)Dogs MUST be kept on a lead at all times in Council maintained cemeteries	95.2%

• The main themes identified from the consultation responses/comments were:

Themes	
Increased Fines (see para 4.7 Appendix 1)	
More Enforcement/CCTV – (see para 4.8 Appendix 1)	
More bags and bins needed (see para 4.9 Appendix 1)	
Dogs should be on leads at all times/everywhere (see para 4.10	
Appendix 1)	



Improved signage/communication (see para 4.11 Appendix 1) More enclosed/dedicated areas to allow dogs off a leash (see para 4.12 Appendix 1)

- 80.2% of respondents agreed that the maximum permitted fixed fine of £100 should remain in place. The majority of respondents that said it should not, did so as they stated they would like it to be a higher amount or increase for repeat offenders. As stated in paragraph 5.7 above the fixed penalty of £100 is the maximum amount which can be levied under the relevant legislation and it cannot be increased for repeat offences.
- 73.3% of respondents agreed that dogs should continue to be kept on leads at all times in Aberdare Park. 15.7% stated "don't know", mainly as they were not familiar with the area or the park, if the data is analysed without the "don't know" answers, 87.0% of respondents were in agreement. Some residents raised the lack of a safe or enclosed space for dogs to exercise as a concern. A large proportion of residents did say similar restrictions should be in place for other parks in RCT, notably in Ynysangharad Park [other than in the designated dog walking area].
- A report published by Keep Wales Tidy entitled 'An Analysis of Local Environmental Quality in RCT 2022-23' shows that the presence of dog fouling on streets in RCT has remained consistent (at 10.9%) when compared to the period the PSPO was last renewed in 2020. It is worth noting however that in 2021-22 the presence of dog fouling was recorded on 8.9% of streets so the most recent report does unfortunately evidence an increase. Prior to the original introduction of the PSPO in 2017 this figure was around the 18% mark. This report does therefore demonstrate that since its introduction in 2017 the PSPO has led to a reduction in the presence of dog fouling on the streets of RCT but also highlights it still remains an issue. The Council was keen to see if residents agreed that there had been a reduction in dog fouling in the last 6 years. In contrast to the results in detailed in the report, 61.1% of residents believe that the dog fouling levels had not decreased in the last 6 years. However, some of the specific comments received through the survey suggest that there has been an improvement.
- A number of responses were received from key stakeholders, such as the Dogs Trust and RSPCA and these are summarised in Section 6 of the consultation report.
- The full consultation survey comments and responses including emails and letters have been made available for Cabinet Members to review ahead of the meeting.
- 7.4 In summary, there is clearly still overwhelming public support for the prohibitions and requirements included in the Dog Control PSPOs and for their extension for a further three year period.



7.5 Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee considered the proposal at its meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2023. Members commented that they were supportive of the proposals within the consultation. They did query how many people had been fined for dog fouling and if there are regular offenders, can they be fined more. This point was a common issue raised in the consultation and has been addressed above.

#### 8. <u>EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY (INCLUDING SOCIO-ECONOMIC DUTY)</u> <u>IMPLICATIONS</u>

- 8.1 The Council must be able to demonstrate that a PSPO is a necessary and proportionate response to the problems caused by the activities of dogs and those in charge of them. The Council is required to balance the interests of those in charge of dogs against the interests of those affected by the activities of dogs. This must take into consideration the need for people, particularly children, to have access to dog-free areas and areas where dogs are kept under strict control, and the need for those in charge of dogs to have access to areas where they can exercise their dogs without undue restrictions.
- 8.2 In developing the original PSPO an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) was undertaken to ensure that:
  - The Council meets the requirements of the Public Sector Equality Duties, and
  - Due regard has been taken of the likely impact of the decision in terms of equality and discrimination.
- 8.3 The Equality Impact Assessment has now been refreshed following the completion of the consultation period using the feedback received from that process. This is attached at Appendix 2 to the report.
- 8.4 As a result of that exercise it is considered the impact on residents, visitors and businesses is expected to continue to be primarily positive, as these proposals should continue to act as a deterrent to irresponsible dog ownership. Taking into account the exemptions set out in 8.5 and 8.6 below in respect of any identified impacts in the EIA on those with a disability and mitigation identified therein to counteract that, together with possible impacts on individuals who may have reduced mobility and thus unable to pick up dog faeces there is no adverse impact on any other protected characteristics in relation to the extension of the Dog Control PSPOs.
- 8.5 The provisions of the proposed Dog Control Orders would not apply to a person who:

(i) is registered as partially sighted or blind, in a register compiled under section 29 of the National Assistance Act 1948;



(ii) is registered as "sight-impaired", "severely sight impaired" or as "having sight and hearing impairments which, in combination, have a significant effect on their day to day lives", in a register compiled under section 18 of the Social Services and Well-Being (Wales) Act 2014;

(iii) has a disability which affects their mobility, manual dexterity, physical coordination, or ability to lift, carry, or otherwise move everyday objects, such that he cannot reasonably be expected to remove the faeces; or (iv) has some other disability, such that he reasonably cannot be expected to remove the faeces.

- 8.6 The provisions of the orders would not apply to a dog trained by a registered charity to assist a person with a disability and upon which a disabled person relies for assistance.
- 8.7 For the purposes of the orders, a 'disability' means a condition that qualifies as a disability for the purposes of the Equality Act 2010 and upon which a disabled person relies for assistance.
- 8.8 Nothing in the Order shall apply to the normal activities of a working dog whilst the dog is working. This includes dogs that are being used for work in connection with emergency search and rescue, law enforcement and the work of HM Armed Forces and farm dogs that are being used to herd or drive animals.

#### 9. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 Should the proposed orders be extended there would be a need to amend existing signage to reflect this however any associated costs would be met from existing budgets.

#### 10. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATION CONSIDERED

10.1 Section 60(2) of the Act states that before the time when a public spaces protection order is due to expire, the local authority that made the order may extend the period for which it has effect if satisfied on reasonable grounds that doing so is necessary to prevent—

(a) occurrence or recurrence after that time of the activities identified in the order, or

(b) an increase in the frequency or seriousness of those activities after that time.

- 10.2 An extension under this section—
  - (a) may not be for a period of more than 3 years;

(b) must be published in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State.

10.3 A public spaces protection order may be extended more than once.



10.4 Section 61 states that where a public spaces protection order is in force, the local authority that made the order may vary it—

(a) by increasing or reducing the restricted area;

(b) by altering or removing a prohibition or requirement included in the order, or adding a new one.

10.5 A local authority may make a variation that results in the order applying to an area to which it did not previously apply only if the conditions in section 59(2) and (3) are met as regards activities in that area.

These are:

The first condition is that—

(a) activities carried on in a public place within the authority's area have had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality, or

(b) it is likely that activities will be carried on in a public place within that area and that they will have such an effect.

The second condition is that the effect, or likely effect, of the activities—

- (a) is, or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature,
- (b) is, or is likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable, and
- (c) justifies the restrictions imposed by the notice.
- 10.6 A local authority may make a variation that makes a prohibition or requirement more extensive, or adds a new one, only if the prohibitions and requirements imposed by the order as varied are ones that section 59(5) allows to be imposed.

The only prohibitions or requirements that may be imposed are ones that are reasonable to impose in order—

(a) to prevent the detrimental effect from continuing, occurring or recurring, or

(b) to reduce that detrimental effect or to reduce the risk of its continuance, occurrence or recurrence.

- 10.7 Where an order is varied, the order as varied must be published in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State.
- 10.8 A local authority, in deciding whether to extend the period for which a public spaces protection order has effect (under section 60) and if so for how long and whether to vary a public spaces protection order (under section 61) and if so how must have particular regard to the rights of freedom of expression and freedom of assembly set out in articles 10 and 11 of the Convention.
- 10.9 A local authority must carry out the necessary consultation and the necessary publicity, and the necessary notification (if any), before extending the period for which a public spaces protection order has effect or varying it.

"the necessary consultation" means consulting with—



(a) the chief officer of police, and the local policing body, for the police area that includes the restricted area;

(b) whatever community representatives the local authority thinks it appropriate to consult;

(c) the owner or occupier of land within the restricted area;

*"the necessary publicity"* means—

(a) in the case of a proposed order or variation, publishing the text of it;(b) in the case of a proposed extension or discharge, publicising the proposal;

*"the necessary notification"* means notifying the following authorities of the proposed order, extension, variation or discharge—

(a) the community council (if any) for the area that includes the restricted area.

The requirement to consult with the owner or occupier of land within the restricted area—

(a) does not apply to land that is owned and occupied by the local authority;

(b) applies only if, or to the extent that, it is reasonably practicable to consult the owner or occupier of the land.

In relation to a variation of a public spaces protection order that would increase the restricted area, the restricted area for the purposes of this section is the increased area.

## 11. WELSH LANGUAGE IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 Whilst, as a result of this proposal, there are no specific impacts on
  - (i) the use of the Welsh Language;
  - (ii) increasing the number/percentage of Welsh Speakers; and
  - (iii) increasing opportunities to use the Welsh Language

the signs that are used to transmit the details of the Dog Control PSPO to the public in relevant locations are all bilingual and will remain so should the PSPO be extended. Fixed Penalty Notices are also issued bilingually.

- 11.2 The majority of comments received through the consultation exercise survey responses agreed there was/would be no specific impact on the Welsh Language in the context of (i) (iii) above.
- 11.3 Evidence used to support this conclusion includes the Welsh Language Promotional Strategy, Welsh Government's <u>Cymraeg 2050 Strategy and</u> Consultation feedback which included comments such as *"I don't believe there would be any detrimental impact on Cymraeg, provided the Council continues to maintain its bilingual provision."*



11.4 Actions that can be taken to better contribute to positive future impacts include:

(i) Supporting Welsh Language training and look at improving the number of bilingual Environmental Enforcement Officers; and
 (ii) Pro-active recruitment of Welsh speakers to undertake the Enforcement

Officer role. Look at positive recruitment e.g. targeting Welsh job sites, Welsh classes.

- 11.5 The Welsh Language Service advise officers ensure that that the process of issuing fines, from beginning to end, including any challenge to any payments etc. is available in both languages.
- 11.6 The full Welsh Language Impact Assessment can be found at Appendix 3 to the report.

### 12. <u>LINKS TO THE COUNCILS CORPORATE PLAN AND FUTURE</u> <u>GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</u>

- 12.1 The proposals in this report are consistent with the priorities of the Council's Corporate Plan, in particular *"Place creating neighbourhoods where people are proud to live and work"*:
- 12.2 These proposals are also consistent with the Well-being Goals under the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015:

A healthier Wales – a society in which people's physical and mental wellbeing is maximised and in which choices and behaviours that benefit future health are understood.
A Wales of cohesive communities – attractive, viable, safe and well connected communities.

#### 13. CONCLUSION

- 13.1 Dog fouling remains a significant concern for the Council and for those who live, work and visit the County Borough and is a serious risk to human health, particularly amongst children.
- 13.2 The Public Spaces Protection Orders in relation to dog controls has allowed the Council to introduce a range of reasonable and proportionate restrictions on the use of publicly accessible land across the County Borough and helped control the harmful activities of irresponsible dog owners whilst allowing responsible dog owners to continue to exercise their dogs without undue restrictions.
- 13.3 Despite the introduction of the orders in October 2017, and their renewal in October 2020 there remains a minority of dog owners who do not clean up after their dogs or keep them under control. Therefore officers consider it vital the orders, which would ordinarily expire on 30<sup>th</sup> September 2023, are renewed for



a further period of three years in order to maintain the significant benefits the orders have had in relation to dog fouling and ensure appropriate powers remain in place to deal with the minority who continue to flout the laws.

13.4 Cabinet is now asked to consider the responses to the public consultation together with the associated impact assessments and extend the Dog Control PSPO's for a further three year period from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2023 as detailed in Appendix 4A and 4B (accounting for any amendments required by it following consideration of the consultation response).

**Relevant Scrutiny Committee** Overview & Scrutiny Committee

### LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 AS AMENDED BY

## THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985 RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

## CABINET

## 18 SEPTEMBER 2023

## PROPOSED EXTENSION AND VARIATION TO RHONDDA CYNON TAF CBC'S DOG CONTROL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDERS

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF LEGAL AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES, DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH, PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES AND DIRECTOR OF HIGHWAYS, STREETCARE AND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDERS, COUNCILLORS M.WEBBER, B.HARRIS AND A. CRIMMINGS

## **Background Papers**

a) Public Spaces Protection Orders (Dog Controls) – Joint Report of Director of Highways & Streetcare Services & Service Director of Public Health & Protection in discussion with the relevant Portfolio Holders, Councillor A Crimmings and Councillor J Rosser: 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2017

b) Public Spaces Protection Orders (Dog Controls) – Aberdare Park - Joint Report of Director of Highways & Streetcare Services & Service Director of Public Health &



Protection in discussion with the relevant Portfolio Holders, Councillor A Crimmings and Councillor J Rosser: 28<sup>th</sup> September 2017

c) PROPOSED EXTENSION AND VARIATION TO RHONDDA CYNON TAF CBC'S DOG CONTROL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDERS JOINT REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH. PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES AND GROUP DIRECTOR PROSPERITY, DEVELOPMENT AND FRONTLINE SERVICES IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDERS, COUNCILLORS A. CRIMMINGS AND R. LEWIS – 25<sup>TH</sup> June 2020 d)PROPOSED EXTENSION AND VARIATION TO RHONDDA CYNON TAF CBC'S DOG CONTROL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDERS JOINT REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH, PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES AND GROUP DIRECTOR PROSPERITY, DEVELOPMENT AND FRONTLINE SERVICES IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE RELVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDERS, COUNCILLORS A. CRIMMINGS AND R. LEWIS: 24 SEPTEMBER 2020 e)PROPOSED EXTENSION TO RHONDDA CYNON TAF CBC'S DOG CONTROL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDERS - report of the director of legal & democratic services, director of public health, protection and community services and director of frontline services in discussions with the relevant portfolio holders, Councillors M.Webber, B. Harris and A. Crimmings: 28<sup>th</sup> June 2023 f) Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 – Chapter 12 g) Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014: Reform of anti-social behaviour powers: statutory guidance

#### Officers to contact:

Andy Wilkins, Director of Legal & Democratic Services; Louise Davies, Director of Public Health, Protection and Community Services; Stephen Williams, Director of Highways, Streetcare and Transportation Services