PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

17 August 2023

REPORT OF: DIRECTOR PROSPERITY AND DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORTS

Members are asked to determine the planning applications outlined below:

APPLICATION NO: 22/1476/08 (CHJ)

APPLICANT: Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Co

DEVELOPMENT: Permanent retention of landform created by deposit of

approximately 19,700m3 of material from Tylorstown landslip, creation of new footpath incorporating two footbridges, drainage works plus landscaping and habitat/ecological mitigation measures and associated

works.

LOCATION: LAND ACROSS FROM OAKLANDS BUSINESS PARK,

FERNDALE

DATE REGISTERED: 20/12/2023

ELECTORAL DIVISION: Ferndale and Maerdy

APPLICATION NO: 22/1477/08 (CHJ)

APPLICANT: Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council

DEVELOPMENT: Permanent retention of approximately 740m3 of material

from Tylorstown landslip, landscaping and

habitat/ecological mitigation measures and associated

works.

LOCATION: LAND TO THE SOUTH OF STATION ROAD.

FERNDALE

DATE REGISTERED: 20/12/2022

ELECTORAL DIVISION: Ferndale and Maerdy

BACKGROUND

On Sunday 16th February 2020, Storm Dennis caused the Llanwonno Upper Tip to fail above the village of Tylorstown resulting in a large landslip followed by a smaller secondary event.

The result was that approximately 28-30,000m3 of slipped colliery tip material filled the valley bottom from the toe of the slope outwards in an extremely low angled and widely distributed debris envelope, filling the Afon Rhondda Fach's channel and diverting its course to the western side of the valley bottom. The diverted river began eroding the western bank of the river creating an approximately 5m vertical unstable

face and threatened to undermine the Rhondda Fach Leisure Centre overflow car park adjacent to the top of the bank.

The slipped material also seriously damaged and breached a main sewer beneath the leisure centre, downstream of the landslide toe, felled numerous trees in its wake and covered a water main below a former railway line used as a non-motorised leisure route.

Urgent work had to be undertaken to move the slipped material to prevent further damage and three parcels of land, conveniently located close to the slip a short distance upstream along the valley floor and capable of safely accommodating the large amount of material required to be stockpiled, were identified to receive the material.

These sites included RS-B with the other two sites being designated as Receptor Sites A1 and A2 (RS-A1, RS-A2).

The closeness of the sites to the landslip allowed the material to be moved in an efficient and timely way which, given the continuing damage being caused by the diverted river was a key consideration. Other advantages included the utilisation of the former railway line as a 'haul road'. The track bed which runs along the valley bottom conveniently connected the slip area and site thereby minimising the impact of the transportation of the material from the slip area with no material having to be transported on the local highway network.

Once the sites were cleared, work to deposit the material on the receptor sites began in July 2020 and was completed in February 2021.

Two planning applications for the temporary deposit of the slipped material, covering the three sites used, were submitted (see APPENDIX A). These were submitted during the engineering operations to deposit the material and were therefore partly retrospective. The response to the sudden and damaging landslip above Tylorstown had to be swift if further immediate harm was not to be caused to the environment, critical strategic infrastructure and property. The situation at the time required such an urgent response that the normal formal planning process could simply not be followed and complied with, although all works had been subject to extensive discussions (and a site visit) with Officers from the Council's Planning Service.

The two planning applications were approved in January 2021 for the temporary stockpiling of material from the landslip – 20/1312/08 (RS-A1 & A2) and 20/1313/08 (RS-B) and were both subject to an identical planning condition requiring submission and approval of the permanent landform and proposals. While the applicant could have chosen to discharge the requirements under this condition, they have instead chosen to submit a full/detailed application.

This application brings forward the same permanent proposals to satisfy the condition(s). One application has been submitted in respect of RS-B with a separate application being submitted to cover the proposals for RS-A1 and RS-A2.

For the sake of brevity, one report has been prepared to cover both applications (as was the case for the original applications) however two separate decisions will be required.

APPLICATION DETAILS

This is a full/detailed application to secure the finished landforms on Receptor Sites A2 and B following the temporary / urgent storage of the material involved in the landslip of 2020. A separate application will be made in the future in respect of Receptor Site A1.

The application includes:

- A Design & Access Statement
- Community Infrastructure Levy Declaration
- Ecological Mitigation & Enhancement Report
- Drainage Strategy Statement
- Flood Consequence Assessment
- Materials Management plan
- Coal Mining Risk Assessment and a
- Geo-Environmental Interpretive Report.

Technical Plans have also been submitted in support of the proposal.

There are a number of key features in the proposals. These include:

- Retention of majority of temporary landform in its current form.
- Minor remodelling and earthworks to facilitate installation of a new 2m wide footpath through part of the feature.
- Installation of two footbridges which will link the proposed new footpath to the footpath/cycleway route in the valley floor to a footpath running up the valley side.
- Installation of two benches and two interpretation panels on the top of the landform adjacent to the new footpath link.
- Indicative setting out of a number of stepping-stones through the upper platform of the feature.
- New features to deter unauthorised access.
- Minor alterations to existing drainage infrastructure arrangements; and

Proposed landscaping, habitat mitigation and enhancements.

The applicant has advised that they had considered an alternative option of removing the materials from site, but this would have had negative environmental consequences as well as resulting in 3000 HGV road journeys through Ferndale (or potentially 9000 HGV movements travelling through Blaenllechau using smaller vehicles).

Furthermore, the proposals presented will provide benefits to the community in terms of extending the leisure walking route option, facilitating the upgrading and extension of the Active Travel Route and extending the diversity and value of local habitats.

It is proposed to retain the majority of the now established landform in its current form. The principal change will be works to alter the landform to incorporate a new footpath link from the footpath/cycleway route along the former railway, through part of the landform, to join an existing footpath that runs up the side of the valley. Details of the value of the footpath link and associated features are discussed further in the report.

RS-A2 was utilized a as part of the emergency relocation of the slipped material. However, it was only used as a site for drying the material before it was transferred to RS-B. The drying process was essential to the formation of a stable landform on RS-B as the saturated material would have not been able to be compacted to the required standard. It was anticipated that 8,000m3 of material would need to be stockpiled however the amount of material to be relocated from the slip site was reduced by the significant action of the river washing it downstream before it could be moved. Following this process, there was a small amount of material left on the site which amounts to approximately 740m3, which is well below the approved 8000m3. This residual material is spread very thinly across the site and does not affect the existing profiles of the valley slopes.

In total, the amount of material deposited on RS-B during the process to remove the landslip is estimated to be 19,700m3 - slightly lower that the maximum approved (22,000m3) under the temporary consent. It has been built up to a maximum height of 6.4m compared to the maximum of 8m envisaged in the temporary planning approval.

The methodology used to deposit the material on site was to a specification suitable, should it have been required, that the feature could form a safe, permanent landform. This involved drying the material before it was deposited and ensuring that it was formed in layers, each of which was firmly compacted. This ensured a firm and stable landform was developed.

The landform is an irregular shape; it extends approximately 190m in length with variable widths, slopes and heights. The contours are shown on the accompanying General Arrangement plan which shows the main features of the landform to be two sections separated by a drainage feature.

The smaller section, consisting of the western part of the landform and extending to 60m in length, was engineered to slope generally at a 1 in 3 angle to the southern

slope facing the former railway line; this angle generally mirrors the existing slope of the valley in this location. The section is approximately 30m wide along the majority of its length; at the rear, the section slopes back gently to meet the valley side - a characteristic that aids drainage. Between this section and the larger section is a drainage feature and the feature slopes towards it, the maximum height of the deposited material above the original ground level is 6.4m.

The larger section extends to approximately 130m and occupies the generally, eastern part of the landform. The lower and eastern slopes are more steeply angled than those of the adjoining section at 1 in 2 which also reflects the generally steeper angle of the valley side.

This section has a maximum width of approximately 40m; it levels out across two thirds of its width to provide a gentle rear slope towards the drainage feature along its northern edge.

The majority of the deposited landform will remain unaltered; the principal change proposed is the installation of a new footpath link from the footpath/cycle route along the line of the old railway through the application site to another existing footpath that has been formed running down the valley side. Creating the route of the proposed path requires the erection of two footbridges — one at either end, plus additional earthworks on the western slope of the larger section.

The proposed route of the new path has been chosen to minimise the impact on the existing and proposed habitat regeneration proposals.

A small embankment is proposed to be formed adjacent to the existing footpath/cycle route along with some localised regrading, which will allow a ramp to be formed to facilitate the installation of the 6m long by 1.2m wide bridge. The bridge will carry the new footpath onto the side of the landform crossing the existing drainage features at the base of the landform's slope. It is to be constructed of brown-coloured, wood-effect resin.

The footpath requires three sections in a zig-zag (switchback) pattern to gain the height by mostly cutting through the side slope up to the upper level of the landform. The long section of the route of the new path is shown on the submitted Footpath Long Section plan from which it can be seen that the majority of the required earthworks are cutting with a small amount of associated filling.

The longest length of the new path runs parallel to the upper edge of the landform with two layby places at either end for seating/benches – see submitted Landscape Plan. The shorter of the two proposed bridges at the eastern end of this section crosses the existing drainage swale and joins the new path to an existing footpath running down the valley side. Constructed of the same material as the 6m bridge, the bridge spans 4m and is also 1.2m wide. As detailed in the Proposed Footpath Layout plan, localised ground reprofiling to match the footbridge landing level will be required to tie into the existing footpath level.

Part of the design process included an attempt to create a footpath slope to a suitable standard for use by unassisted wheelchair users. Unfortunately, due to the constraints of space and the steepness of the slope to be climbed this was not possible. Within these constraints, the applicant has sought to make the slope as shallow as possible by incorporating the zig-zag design and making provision adjacent to the proposed benches for wheelchairs and/or pushchairs.

No other work is proposed to the existing footpath running down the valley side, which is not a Public Right of Way, nor is it maintained by RCT. It is an informal route created by frequent use; the lower portion of the informal path is quite steep and narrow in nature and was partially eroded during Storm Dennis. The option to use the new path will avoid this portion and allow the existing path to be abandoned .

The new footpath is to be surfaced in porous asphalt. Once completed the new footpath and associated features will be maintained by RCT.

One of the principles of the existing and future ecological enhancement of the site is the natural regeneration of the deposited material to provide a rich and diverse habitat. This process was begun at the completion of the temporary landform and has made good progress. However, the habitat is fragile and needs time to fully establish and maximise its ecological value.

The tops of the two sections of the landform were the first areas to be laid with the recovered turves and topsoil that has kick-started the regeneration process. The new footpath will avoid these areas, but it is accepted that the generally gently sloping nature of the top of the larger section of the landform could encourage encroachment onto the surface being regenerated. It is suggested that two elements of the scheme could at least minimise this intrusion.

Firstly, a route through the edge of the most sensitive area will be provided using stepping-stones - an indicative route is shown on the submitted plans. RCT is considering the options for the detailed design and installation of the route, the stones' materials and finished surface. One option is to involve the local community and schools in their design based around a project to extend the understanding and appreciation of the habitat being created.

Secondly, two interpretation boards are proposed to be located adjacent to the proposed seating area/benches, and at least part of the information on the boards will be about the importance, value and fragility of the developing habitats. The reminder of the boards' information could provide historical context of the local mining/railway line or landscape features able to be viewed from the position.

The site has portions of both the Blaenllechau Woodland Site of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINC) and the Old Smokey Slopes SINC within it and the Taff and Rhondda Rivers SINC lies immediately to the west.

An integrated long term habitat management strategy is proposed for the whole area affected by the initial landslip and proposed safety works to the remaining Llanwonno Tip; this includes the receptor sites beside the river. The plan is currently being produced following consultation with stakeholders.

The broad aims of the management plan will be;

- To maintain and enhance the SINC quality of habitats including through natural regeneration.
- Management input to enhance protected/priority habitats and species.
- To monitor habitats and species to inform the management plan, ensuring it is effective and there is built in flexibility for revision as required.
- To create a local nature reserve that will benefit the public and promote engagement.
- Reduce and minimise future grass fire risk.
- Reduce illegal off-road use of the site.

All mitigation and enhancement measures for the application site are designed to dovetail with the aims of this long-term management strategy for the whole area and are hence a microcosm of the biodiversity vision for the wider landscape. It seeks to maximise habitat diversity over the area, rather than simply replanting trees as compensation for those lost.

Topsoil and turves were retained from the existing habitats on the sites to be cleared as part of the emergency works response. These included priority and locally important habitats, including dry heath, violet species, wild strawberry rich habitat and an area of dense bracken. These were reinstated on the plateau created within RS-B shortly after the deposit of the slip material was completed to promote appropriate natural regeneration.

The distinct areas of habitat that are establishing due to careful translocation of soil will create a mosaic of habitats and will provide conditions for a wide range of invertebrates; bracken will provide shelter for reptiles, amphibians and will become suitable for breeding sites for Local Biodiversity Action Plan bird species such as stonechat.

The original scheme to deposit the slip material was designed to avoid any potential conflict with nearby areas of ancient woodland. The closest ancient woodland boundary is to the east of the site.

All the works providing the changes to the landform or additional features in the current application are sufficiently far enough from the ancient woodland or secondary woodland areas not to have any potential impact on them. In addition, there are no trees proposed to be felled as part of the proposals. As the works are sufficiently far enough from the existing trees on the hillside that additional measures to protect them are considered unnecessary.

In total across all three receptor sites (RS-A1, RS-A2 and RS-B), a total of 441 trees were removed at that time. Of these 275 were either non-native species (e.g. conifers self-seeded from nearby plantations) or Ash. Only 3 mature trees were removed that were of native species and the majority of all trees removed were either immature or semi-mature (See table in appendix of accompanying Ecological Mitigation and Enhancement Report for full details).

The proposed new landscaping consists of planting predominately trees but also shrubs that will develop into trees and climbers. The tree planting provides an opportunity to compensate for the loss of pre-existing trees, provide a mix of species that will avoid Ash species susceptible to disease (die-back has been observed in the area), provide for a native species mix of trees and maximise the potential biodiversity benefits of the site.

The proposed tree planting mix focusses on Birch, Oak, Rowan (Mountain Ash) and Hawthorn. This will consist of 70% of the planting across the whole site.

The proposed planting consists of:

- Trees Birch, Oak, Rowan, Hawthorn = 285
- Shrubs/trees Hazel = 101
- Honeysuckle = 21

The accompanying Ecological Mitigation and Enhancement Report outlines the anticipated benefits of the approach and also details the ongoing management and monitoring of the site. This will be long term, in line with the overall habitat management plan. There will also be interim arrangements during the normal 'establishment' period which will last 5 years from the planting date. This will provide for replacement and replanting of any failed trees; there will be no thinning out, thus ensuring the number of trees planted will be maintained. The proposed planting methodology is also described in the report which involves incorporating more topsoil into the planting holes than would normally be the case for virgin ground, recognising of the nature of the local substrate.

There is a long-standing problem in the local area from damage caused to the landscape and nuisance to residents and businesses by the unauthorised use 'scrambler' motor bikes.

There are indications that this site is also being used by scramblers, albeit to a less extent than other areas. However, the proposed uses of the site for a new footpath and habitat regeneration are both extremely sensitive to this potential unauthorised activity.

The applicant is therefore proposing to implement physical measures to deter unauthorised vehicular access to the site. Scramblers are most likely to pass the site via the existing footpath/cycle route and therefore measures are proposed along the most vulnerable sections of the site boundary with this route. They consist of additional linear bunds adjacent to the existing drainage features along the length of the landform plus higher bunds at either end where the existing slopes are shallower.

The two larger bunds, in order to achieve the required gradient to deter scramblers, are proposed to be retained by proprietary Deltalok bags (black/dark grey geotextile fabric bags designed to be filled with a granular soil mix).

The material excavated to create the new footpath will be used to fill the bags and the area behind them at the eastern and western extents of the site. Use of the Deltalock system will also allow revegetation through and between individual bags containing the won material from the path creation works. The Deltalock system will also provide suitable conditions for wildflowers, shrubs and trees to naturally colonise the steep slopes.

Microtopography will be created via the use of the Deltalock system, providing a range of conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, moisture levels) to encourage a wide range of invertebrate species to colonise.

However, whilst the above measures can address the vulnerable parts of this particular site, it is accepted that the causes and solutions of the 'scrambler' problem are unlikely to be solved by these measures alone; hence, the applicant is engaged in a wider approach involving liaison with landowners and occupiers who are experiencing the same, or worse problems, and the police.

The Geo-environmental Interpretative Report submitted with the application provides the results of site investigations, testing and monitoring of both the original cleared ground and the deposited material/landform and its potential impact on the environment.

It confirms that the investigations found that the original ground platform was 'uncontaminated' when compared to standard 'open space' health criteria. The examination of the deposited material show it to be uncontaminated and to display mainly low levels of leaching.

Sampling of upstream and downstream surface water was also undertaken which did find some 'exceedance' levels of copper and zinc. However, neither were found to be attributable to the deposited material.

The report in part concludes that "Given the land quality of the site then no remediation of the site is warranted. Rather it is considered that the 'treatment' phase is complete in that drying of fill prior to placement and the high compaction applied to the material during placement has delivered a landform which does not pose significant land quality risks to the environment."

The Geo-environmental Interpretative Report concludes that the landform is well compacted which was a key consideration of the original deposit methodology as it would allow the landform to remain undisturbed, should it be required to be the permanent site solution.

The stockpiled material was placed with a slope gradient not exceeding 1:2 (equivalent to 50% or 26.57 degrees) and was tracked/compacted with a bulldozer in thin layers, and left to dry, allowing any excessive pore pressures within the material to dissipate.

Evidence from the Llanwonno Tips Reclamation Scheme Stability Report determined the colliery spoil had a fines content of <20%, an effective angle of shearing resistance (in its undisturbed state) of 33.5 degrees and a residual angle of shearing resistance (following disturbance) of 26.5 degrees.

Geotechnical testing of the landslip material showed similar results with on average <15% fines allowing the material to be classified as 'Class 1B – Uniformly graded granular material'. This indicates that an engineered 1:2 slope is sufficiently conservative gradient for long term stability, provided adequate drainage is installed.

The maximum design height of RS-B was 8m which was not exceeded, with the actual finished height being no more than 6.4m.

The additional earthworks to create the route for the new footpath will not compromise the established stability of the landform. None of the new slopes will be steeper than the existing and the feature, as it is now and following the footpath work, is considered, will be both safe and stable in the long term.

Risk of flooding from all sources has been considered in the submitted Flood Consequence Assessment. This is the same assessment as used in the original application to create the stockpile as it has been reviewed and found to be still appropriate.

The assessment finds that the site lies partly in Flood Zone 1/DAM Zone A, at low risk of flooding, and partly in Flood Zone 2and DAM Zone C2, at medium risk of flooding.

The majority of the site is at very low risk of flooding from surface water and small watercourses, with isolated areas of higher risk. A drainage strategy has been developed to manage surface water and is also included in the application – this ensures that the risk of surface water flooding is not increased as a result of the stockpiling.

Risk of flooding from artificial and residual sources has been assessed and is considered to be low.

Using the TAN.15 classifications this type of development is classed as Less Vulnerable land use and is appropriate in Flood Zone 1/DAM Zone A, and the area of

the site in Flood Zone 2/DAM Zone C2, subject to the application of justification test, including acceptability of consequences.

In the report the Justification Test has been applied and passed; it includes noting, as set out in TAN.15, that the development is part of a Local Authority regeneration initiative, the site is previously developed land, and that the potential consequences of a flooding event of the proposed development have been considered and found to be acceptable.

A surface water drainage strategy was developed as part of the original temporary application to deal with the runoff using sustainable drainage systems (SuDS). The eventual deposit of less material than anticipated and at a lower height meant that the actual implemented design did not need as much capacity as approved and therefore slightly less intrusive features were implemented.

An updated Drainage Strategy Statement to reflect these minor changes accompanies the application.

In simple terms, the proposed design contains the following elements:

- A swale drain running along the rear of the as it interfaces with the existing hillside this collects the runoff from the berm face as well as intercepting runoff from the hillside above the berm that would otherwise drain towards the landform and directing it around the landform.
- A swale drain running along the toe of the batter as it meets the existing ground. This swale collects the runoff from the batter face:
- A central swale between the two main elements of the landform directing flow down to the level of the toe swale; and
- A culvert connection that collects flow from the above features and directs it to the point of discharge.

The following is a summary of the proposed changes to the existing arrangements.

Originally proposed 3m wide central brick channel and cascade replaced with a 1m wide and 250mm deep lined swale;

- The originally proposed drainage to the eastern side of the landform (1m wide brick and concrete channel with a stepped cascade), directing flows into the pond was not implemented. Rather, the same sized feature was constructed from gravel-filled geocell units and without the cascade. Over time the gravel fill within the geocells has been observed to be washed away and it is now proposed that this be refilled with topsoil and turves removed from the footpath construction and covered with geotextile to prevent erosion and encourage the establishment of vegetation. To dissipate the energy from the flows and in lieu of the cascade large rocks were installed in the pond;
- The proposed pond has been reduced in size from the original and rather than act as an attenuation feature its main function is to slow down flows as the site

has not increased in impermeable area, but overland flows across the site have been altered by the deposited material;

- The originally proposed alteration to the existing stream alignment to the east of the site is no longer proposed;
- The 1m wide swale to the northern edge of the landform was repositioned slightly due to lower volumes of discharge; and
- The lower actual flows also allowed a smaller pipe to be installed to link the pond to the existing river outfall.

The strategy confirms the design is compliant with current SuDS and other Government guidance and that the proposed changes to the landform will not result in any necessary changes to the drainage scheme implemented. It will form the basis of a subsequent SAB application.

The existing footpath/cycle route along the former railway line is part of the Sustrans National Cycle Network featuring as Route 881 and is a well-used local route. It will remain open at all times during construction works with this requirement being managed by the appointed contractor.

Completion of the permanent works to the application site and RS-A1/2 (RS-A1 when submitted) will remove one of the obstacles preventing the proposed upgrading of the railway footpath/cycle route. The route is planned to be upgraded to become an extension, from Tylorstown to Maerdy, of an existing Active Travel Route along sections of the Rhondda Fach. The finished surface specification of the proposed new path will match that proposed for the upgrading and improvement of the existing footpath/cycle route along the former railway.

There is no direct or formal vehicular access to the site although access can be gained from Station Road into a small informal car park close to RS-A1. There is no proposed change to this arrangement once the works are completed.

The existing footpath/cycle track is proposed to be used as a temporary construction access, an arrangement that will be managed by the appointed contractor using banksmen, to ensure the route remains open. No changes to the surface or width of the track are necessary to facilitate this.

The site is served by and entered immediately off Station Road. Although this single carriageway road is a bus route it is quiet during the majority of the day. The majority of traffic, both staff and deliveries to the site are likely to arrive from the west having travelled on the main A4233. This routing requires all vehicles to pass through the centre of Ferndale from whichever direction they travel along the single carriageway - A4233 (i.e. north or south). The centre of Ferndale, given the number of commercial premises, can be a busy and at times congested section of the A-road.

The normal available lane width is sufficient for the largest delivery vehicles to negotiate without disrupting other road users. A potential pinch point is the junction

with Station Road which sits on the outside of a curve and this junction needs to be negotiated with care.

The construction traffic generated during the construction period for the development will principally be connected to the activities required to build the new footpath and associated structures including the two bridges; plus, additional earth-moving activity generated by the creation of the bunds required to deter scramblers. This activity will involve general construction, earth-moving and track-laying.

However, the quantities of material involved in these activities are such that they will only have minimal impact on the local road network. The number of movements are estimated below.

In terms of the cut and fill balance there will be no material that needs to be removed from the site. Therefore, the construction of the footpath and installation of the bridges will be the main source of material movements.

For the footpath construction the following movements are anticipated.

- Sub-base = 76 m3 equivalent to 6-9 loads dependant on size of vehicle
- Surface course = 1 bulk delivery
- Binder course = 1 bulk delivery
- Footpath Total = between 6-8 deliveries

To install the bridges one delivery per bridge is anticipated.

In addition to these movements heavy earthmoving equipment will be required on site which will be a maximum of 3 one-off deliveries. Also, there will be the regular twice daily movements of the workforce, although these will be minimal, for a small scheme like this, and in any event will not use any vehicle larger than 'transit' van size.

To summarise, the anticipated impacts of traffic generated from the work activity are expected to be minimal. No conflicts with other road users or pedestrians, complaints of disturbance to residents or accidents were recorded during the much larger scheme to deposit the slip material on the application site in 2020/21 and the anticipated traffic impacts are considered well within the capacity of the existing network. Also, there will be no residual impacts beyond the completion of the work.

CONSULTATION

As part of the application process the following were consulted. A brief precis of responses has been included for Committee's information:

NRW – no objection

Transportation Section – no objection

Public Rights of Way Section – no objection)

Countryside, Landscape & Ecology Section – no objection

RCT Drainage Section – no objection

The Coal Authority – no objection

POLICY CONTEXT

Committee is advised that there has been no significant change in Policy since this development was previously considered.

National Planning Policy

- Planning Policy Wales
- Technical Advice Note 5: Nature Conservation and Planning (Welsh Government, 2009)
- Technical Advice Note 15: Development and Flood Risk (Welsh Government, 2004)
- Circular 22/87 Development of Contaminated Land (Welsh Office, August 1987)

Local Planning Policy

Core Policies:

Policy CS 1 (Development in the North) - Ferndale is identified as a key settlement within the Northern Strategy Area and this policy seeks to promote "accessibility by securing investment in …walking and cycling" and "new forms of employment in the leisure and tourism sectors".

Area Wide Policies

Policy AW 5 – This policy sets out criteria for new development in relation to amenity and accessibility.

Policy AW 6 – requires development to involve a high-quality design and to make a positive contribution to place-making, including landscaping.

Policy AW 8 - This policy seeks to protect the natural environment from non-sustainable development. It set out a number of tests against which development proposals will be judged.

Policy AW 10 - developments proposed must overcome any harm to public health, the environment or local amenity,

Strategy Area Policies:

Policy NSA 20 (Major Road Schemes) -This policy requires that land will be safeguarded for the implementation of additions to the strategic highway network.

Policy NSA 23 (Cycle Network Improvements) – Promotes the extension, improvements and enhancement of the existing networks of cycle paths.

REASONS FOR REACHING THE RECOMMENDATION (PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS)

Section 38(6) of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that, if regard is to be had to the development plan for the purpose of any determination to be made under the Planning Acts, the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material planning considerations indicate otherwise.

Furthermore, applications that are not in accordance with relevant policies in the plan should not be allowed unless material planning considerations justify the grant of planning permission.

It is considered that the principal issues in the consideration of this application are Ecology, Landscape Impact, Public Accessibility, the Water Environment and Transportation Considerations (in no particular order of importance).

Committee is advised that the application basically seeks to retain the landforms created to deal with the aftermath of the landslide that occurred as a result of Storm Dennis (in respect of Receptor Sites A2 and B)

Committee will also note from the previous report that while there were technical considerations which dictated the appearance of the stockpiled material, thought was given to the overall impact of the material on the landscape since it was likely to remain there for a considerable time.

The applicant has considered removing the material from the site altogether however there would be significant consequences of this involving thousands of lorry movements through neighbouring villages. There would also be a significant cost associated with this which, while not necessarily being a material Planning consideration, would have to be weighed against the consequences of moving it and the ability of the landform in which it currently sits to accept the material in such a way that (a) it would not appear out of character and (b) that it would afford the local community an opportunity to benefit from enhanced leisure features that the landform could provide.

In addition, the landform has begun to regenerate, and while it is proposed to further enhance the landscaping, removing or disturbing the material in-situ is considered to be the least environmentally friendly approach.

Since the material was first moved the applicant has been in discussions with the LPA and various consultees to help shape the proposal before Committee such that many of the topic areas that would normally comprise this section of the report have already been incorporated and have been set out in the APPLICATION DETAILS section.

In light of the above comments, it is RECOMMENDED that the application be approved subject to the following conditions:

RECOMMENDATION: Approve

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Sections 91 and 93 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the plans and documents listed within the Design & Access Statement submitted as part of this application unless otherwise to be approved and superseded by details required by any other condition attached to this consent.

Reason: To ensure the compliance with the approved plans and documents and to clearly define the scope of this permission.

3. No development shall commence until such time as a site wide Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

The CEMP should include (but not be limited to):

- Construction methods: details of materials, how waste generated will be managed;
- General Site Management: details of the construction programme including timetable, details of site clearance; details of site construction drainage, containments areas, appropriately sized buffer zones between storage areas (of spoil, oils, fuels, concrete mixing and washing areas) and any watercourse or surface drain.
- Biodiversity Management: species and habitats protection, avoidance and mitigation measures.
- Soil Management: details of topsoil strip, storage and amelioration for re-use.
- Control of Nuisances: details of restrictions to be applied during construction including details of dust control measures.
- Resource Management: details of fuel and chemical storage and containment; details of waste generation and its management; details of water consumption, wastewater and energy use

- Pollution Prevention: demonstrate how relevant Guidelines for Pollution Prevention and best practice will be implemented, including details of emergency spill procedures and incident response plan.
 www.naturalresourceswales.gov.uk
 www.cyfoethnaturiolcymru.gov.uk
- Details of the persons and bodies responsible for activities associated with the CEMP and emergency contact details

The CEMP shall be implemented as approved during the site preparation and construction phases of the development.

Reason: To ensure necessary management measures are agreed prior to commencement of development and implemented for the protection of the environment during construction in accordance with Policy AW5 of the Rhondda Cynon Taf Local Development Plan.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

21 JANUARY 2021

REPORT OF: DIRECTOR PROSPERITY AND DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

Members are asked to determine the planning applications outlined below:

APPLICATION NO: 20/1312/08 & 20/1313/08 (CHJ)
APPLICANT: Cyngor Rhondda Cynon Taf Council

20/1312/08

DEVELOPMENT: Temporary deposit and storage of approximately 8,000m3

of material from Tylorstown landslip consisting of the formation of stockpiles, material consolidation, drainage, habitat/ecological mitigation measures and associated

works. (Part Retrospective)

LOCATION: STATION ROAD, FERNDALE

20/1313/08

DEVELOPMENT: Temporary deposit and storage of approximately

22,000m3 of material from Tylorstown landslip consisting of the formation of stockpiles, material consolidation, drainage, habitat/ecological mitigation measures and

associated works. (Part Retrospective)

LOCATION: LAND ACROSS FROM OAKLANDS BUSINESS PARK,

FERNDALE

DATE REGISTERED: 19/11/2020 ELECTORAL DIVISION: Ferndale

RECOMMENDATION: Approval subject to the conditions below.

REASONS: The development, while largely retrospective, has been necessary to remediate the landslip that occurred earlier this year. Fortunately, nobody was injured as a result of this incident, but it was clear that action to remove the material from the river was urgently necessary.

The proposal represents the most efficient and environmentally sustainable of the (limited) options available. While the stockpiling of this material will have some negative visual impact, it is necessary (for safety reasons) to dry out the material before it can be worked and will only be for a temporary period before being removed / remodelled. This will be the subject of a separate planning application (to be made at a future date)

REASON APPLICATION REPORTED TO COMMITTEE: The nature of the application, while potentially capable of being considered under the Council's Scheme of Delegation, is such that a Committee determination is considered more appropriate.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On 16th February 2020, Storm Dennis caused the Llanwonno Upper Tip to fail above the village of Tylorstown resulting in a large landslip followed by a smaller, secondary event.

The consequence was that approximately 30,000 cubic metres of colliery tip material slid down the slope and filled the valley bottom from the "toe" of the slope outwards in an extremely low angled and widely distributed debris envelope. This subsequently filled the River/Afon Rhondda Fach diverting its course to the western side of the valley bottom. The diverted river began eroding the western bank of the river creating a vertically unstable face of approximately 5 metres which threatened to undermine the Rhondda Fach Leisure Centre car park adjacent to the top of the bank.

The slipped material also seriously damaged and breached a main sewer beneath the Leisure Centre downstream of the landslide "toe" and felled numerous trees in its wake and covered a water main below the former railway line which is used as a (non-motorised) leisure route.

APPLICATION DETAILS

The development which is the subject of this application is partially (largely) retrospective and was started without the benefit of planning consent due to the threat to the local buildings and infrastructure caused by the landslip and extensive scouring from the diverted river. Committee is reassured that, while the work may be retrospective, the applicants (the Council) sought early advise from both the Countryside, Landscape & Ecology Section and the Development Control (Planning) Section (as well as other in-house technical experts) before any work had commenced.

Committee is advised that there have been two applications submitted, one for each respective receptor site although the access to these sites are the same (essentially a haul road). Members may also note that the two reports are, largely, identical in content and considerations and while the scheme as a whole can be debated together, two separate determinations are required.

Both sites (referred to as **A** & **B**) are being used simultaneously for the stockpiling of material. Receptor Site A (RS**A**) is further away (approximately 1km) from the slip

material and Receptor Site B (RSB) is approximately 600 metres away from the slipped material.

Both sites are long linear strips of land the width of which has been dictated by the landform with a steep embankment to the north and the river to the south. RSA is actually divided into two parcels. The presence of two mine shafts have prevented this from being one area. RSB is wider than RSA but RSA is considerably longer. The majority of the material will be stored on RSB which is closest to the land slip area.

Work to deposit the material on the receptor sites began in July 2020 and is expected to continue until February 2021.

RSA (1 & 2) is proposed to accommodate approximately 8000 cubic metres with a maximum height of 5.5 metres however, the applicant has subsequently advised that A1 has only 800 cubic metres and A2 has nothing at all. RSB can accommodate 22,000 cubic metres with a maximum height of 8 metres. Committee is advised that the maximum heights are largely dictated by safety considerations although RSA is unlikely to be receiving the amounts of material envisaged (largely due to the good compaction rate of the material excavated so far).

The track (haul road) has had to undergo some strengthening in places and the addition of passing bays however these will be removed following completion of the work and the track returned to its original condition.

The application proposes temporary storage of this material for a period not exceeding 3 years. This allows sufficient time for consultation / monitoring prior to the submission of a planning application for the permanent scheme and it allows sufficient time following the Planning process to programme the work to ensure that it is undertaken during the most advantageous season to reduce any risks in respect of access, management of the material and ecological mitigation.

The applicant will not be precluded from removing the stockpiles before the end of this period subject to obtaining the necessary consents.

The documentation included with the application comprises:

- A Design & Access Statement (incorporating a Planning Statement)
- A Community Infrastructure Levy Declaration
- An EIA Screening Opinion & Response
- Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey
- Ecological Rationale
- Ecological Method Statement
- Flood Consequences Assessment

- Coal Mining Risk assessment
- Chemical Stability Assessment
- Preliminary Sources Study Report
- Surface Water Drainage Design
- Transport Statement.

SITE APPRAISAL

The land in question (the deposition sites) is in the ownership of the Council.

The application sites largely comprises a linear strip of land which was formerly the line of the mineral railway. It is predominantly flat in its linearity and bounded on one side by the river and on the other an embankment by self-seeded landscaping by trees and shrubs. At one end of the site is the access from the public highway and has a relatively small informal car park for use by visitors who use the track for recreation purposes. Other than for the purpose of removing the slip material and general maintenance of WW/DC apparatus and the riverbank, access by motor vehicles is prohibited. The track is popular with both cyclists and walkers.

The applicants have advised that the site(s) were chosen as they were conveniently located close to the slip and the capacity was considered to be capable of safely accommodating the amount of material required to be stockpiled temporarily.

The closeness of the sites to the landslip also allows the material to be moved in an efficient and timely manner which was also a key consideration given the continuing damage being caused by the diverted river. The alternative was to remove this material in lorries through the narrow and congested streets of the surrounding villages which would cause significant disruption for a considerable period of time.

Four Sites of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINC) were identified within the survey area, which may be impacted. Of these the most relevant are Blaenllechau Woodland (SINC 61) and Old Smokey Slopes (SINC 65).

The majority of the area of RSA is located within Blaenllechau Woodland (only a small area at the north-west of the receptor site extends beyond the SINC boundary).

The majority of RSB is also located in Blaenllechau Woodland with the exception of a small area at the south-eastern end of the site which falls within Old Smokey Slopes SINC.

A parcel of ancient woodland was found to be located between RSA and RSB plus a linear parcel of ancient woodland located approximately 20m to the west and

another linear Ancient woodland parcel located approximately 110m to the south from the RSB.

PLANNING HISTORY

The land being used is the line of the former mineral railway. There is no recent planning history identifiable pertinent to the consideration of this proposal.

PUBLICITY

The application was publicised by site notices being displayed both on and in areas surrounding the application site. As a result of this exercise, one (6 page) letter of objection has been received from an adjoining landowner (Welsh Poultry). Due to the somewhat unusual nature of the application, a copy of this letter has been included as APPENDIX 1.

The points raised can be summarised as follows:

- The use of retrospective planning is criticized, and concern is expressed over the environmental consequences of tipping on RSB and that the submitted application is to general and applies to the whole project rather than individual aspects.
- There is criticism about the comprehensiveness of some of the ecological surveys and the limited ecological safeguards included within them.
- There is criticism of the ecological mitigation that is proposed and an absence of a comprehensive environmental compensation strategy.
- There will be an adverse impact on ancient woodland and the work done is one dimensional in its approach.
- The proposals will have an impact on low chemical input farming and RCT as a landowner are "notoriously negligent" in allowing invasive species to both flourish and spread to neighbouring lands. Approval should not be given whilst the applicant continues to work in isolation. A collaborative, comprehensive and detailed mitigation and restoration plan should be requested.
- There is concern about the chemical stability of the material and groundwater contamination.
- Concern is expressed about the consequences of the development flooding downstream by the reduction in the flood plain.
- There are concerns about the use by scramblers (motorcycles) on Council land and a lack of "policing" of activities on it.

Discussions have been held with the objector and it would seem that most of the cause for concern stems from the Council's decision to transport the tipped material to RSA

& RSB without consultation with the neighbouring landowners and the disappointment of not considering other alternatives (even in combination). Subsequently, the concern is in respect of the environmental damage that has been caused to prepare RSA & RSB for receiving the material and the fact that what has been lost cannot be restored or mitigated.

Committee is advised that these issues will be addressed in the PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS section of this report.

CONSULTATION

As part of the application process the following were consulted. A brief precis of responses has been included for Committee's information:

NRW – have "significant concerns" over aspects of the development and advise on a need for appropriate licenses to be obtained.

Transportation Section – no objection

Public Rights of Way Section – no reply received (therefore no objection)

Countryside, Landscape & Ecology Section – no objection

RCT Drainage Section – no objection

POLICY CONTEXT

National Planning Policy

- Planning Policy Wales Edition 10 (Welsh Government, December 2018)
- Technical Advice Note 5: Nature Conservation and Planning (Welsh Government, 2009)
- Technical Advice Note 15: Development and Flood Risk (Welsh Government, 2004)
- Circular 22/87 Development of Contaminated Land (Welsh Office, August 1987)

Local Planning Policy

Core Policies:

Policy CS 1 (Development in the North) - Ferndale is identified as a key settlement within the Northern Strategy Area and this policy seeks to promote "accessibility by securing investment in …walking and cycling" and "new forms of employment in the leisure and tourism sectors".

Area Wide Policies

Policy AW 5 – This policy sets out criteria for new development in relation to amenity and accessibility.

Policy AW 6 – requires development to involve a high-quality design and to make a positive contribution to place-making, including landscaping.

Policy AW 8 - This policy seeks to protect the natural environment from non-sustainable development. It set out a number of tests against which development proposals will be judged.

Policy AW 10 - developments proposed must overcome any harm to public health, the environment or local amenity,

Strategy Area Policies:

Policy NSA 20 (Major Road Schemes) -This policy requires that land will be safeguarded for the implementation of additions to the strategic highway network.

Policy NSA 23 (Cycle Network Improvements) – Promotes the extension, improvements and enhancement of the existing networks of cycle paths.

REASONS FOR REACHING THE RECOMMENDATION (PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS)

Section 38(6) of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that, if regard is to be had to the development plan for the purpose of any determination to be made under the Planning Acts, the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material planning considerations indicate otherwise.

Furthermore, applications that are not in accordance with relevant policies in the plan should not be allowed unless material planning considerations justify the grant of planning permission.

It is considered that the principal issues in the consideration of this application are Ecology, Landscape Impact, Public Accessibility, the Water Environment and Transportation Considerations (in no particular order of importance).

Ecology

In terms of species the sites had varying degrees of potential to support protected species, as follows:

- Low suitability for dormouse, roosting bats and badger;
- High suitability for commuting and foraging bats, otter, breeding birds, common amphibians, hedgehogs, reptiles and invertebrates.

The report submitted contains a series of recommendations to deal with the consequences of the development and suggests where any further surveys etc. should be undertaken and impacts on, for example, ancient woodland should be avoided. The draft design and extent of the receptor sites was subsequently amended to completely avoid any impact on the ancient woodland.

It recognised that tree clearance was required on both receptor sites and specifies the basis on which this was to be undertaken (i.e. in accordance with BS5837: Trees

in relation to design, demolition and construction). It also considers briefly what enhancements could be achieved following the deposit of the material.

In addition, and subsequent to the survey, an Ecological Rationale document (included in the application pack), detailing the approach to the ecological management of the works, was produced following consultation with the RCT's Ecologist and Natural Resources Wales (NRW).

Following the Phase 1 Habitat Survey and agreed rationale, the Ecology Consultants sought to develop a methodology detailing (within the context of, and accepting the emergency nature of the works) how the impact on the habitats and species could be minimised and what mitigation measures were required. The draft document was developed and resulted some changes to their proposals for the receptor sites (for example, by excluding the areas identified as ancient woodland from the proposed work areas thereby avoiding any impact on these areas).

The applicant's approach was designed to ensure that the risk of significant negative impacts on habitats and protected species is minimised and that works are compliant with current wildlife legislation.

The Method Statement was included as part of the contractor's works information required to be followed during the carrying out all aspects of the remedial works to ensure that the risk of significant negative impacts on habitats and protected species is minimised and that works are compliant with current wildlife legislation.

The Method Statement is considered to identify all the protected habitats and species potentially impacted and sets out the necessary mitigation, working practices or other measures required to minimise the development's impact on each of them.

The applicant has also employed an Ecological Clerk of Works who has been onsite during the development and ensuring that it is carried out in accordance with the Ecological Method Statement.

The Ecological Method Statement describes, in detail, all the measures undertaken to protect and prevent damage to SINCs, ancient woodland, trees and other priority habitats, protected and priority species and measures to control invasive plant species.

It will be a requirement of a future application that seeks to remove or remodel the stockpiled material will need to include a full set of mitigation and enhancement measures to compensate for the loss of habitat that has been necessary to facilitate this development. Committee is advised that there is little meaningful mitigation and enhancement that can be carried out in respect of the existing situation (but still requires it to be addressed through the imposition of a condition) and it will be important for the applicant to consider how best to avoid the colonisation of the

tipped material by species that could, have an impact on future plans to remove the material (such as Great Crested Newts).

As part of the application, both the Council's Ecologist and NRW were consulted.

While having "significant concerns" NRW have requested the inclusion of a condition that seeks to improve and enhance the habitat for otters (which are a European Protected Species). Committee is advised that Condition 3 is considered to address this concern. Upon submission of the details required, both RCT's Ecologist and NRW will be consulted to consider any proposals.

RCTs Ecologist has advised:

In the late spring, a series of meetings were held (which included NRW) in order to progress emergency tip removal works at Tylorstown Tip. At that stage the emergency nature of the works was not subject to a planning application requirement. However, protected species issues and minimisation of impacts to SINC habitat were identified as priority environmental considerations in undertaking the emergency works. As such Redstart undertook preliminary ecological assessment in May 2020 which identified the following key ecological issues:

- SINC habitat impacts,
- nesting bird,
- reptile,
- otter,
- fish spawning and
- river pollution.

As a result, a series of precautionary measures to ensure adherence with wildlife law and minimisation of ecological impact were identified and implemented in the clearance and setting up of the receptor sites and in implementing associated works involved in moving spoil from the river and adjacent hillside.

These recommendations included ecological supervision for clearance works and tip removal / re-deposition, species mitigation (in particular nesting bird, otter and reptile), avoidance of adjacent key habitats, and adherence with NRW requirements in terms of river working, pollution controls and avoidance of fish spawning impacts.

The ecological measures identified for the emergency works were appropriate to the situation and circumstances and were ecologically supervised by Ecologists from Redstart.

Therefore, in terms of the current planning application for the two receptor sites, the ecological impacts of the receptor site clearance and much of the impacts of spoil removal has already been completed. In addition, any on-going works to complete this emergency phase are subject to the same attached method statement. As such, I would suggest that perhaps we could condition the continued implementation of the attached ecological rationale for any completion works required through this planning application.

As the ecological rationale identifies, mitigation and compensatory ecological measures in the form of an ecological restoration of the receptor sites, formed an important part of the ecological mitigation of the emergency works. SINC habitat has been affected by the landslip and emergency works. As such Policy AW8 of the LDP is relevant and ecological mitigation in terms of habitat restoration is an important consideration. Fortunately, there is considerable potential to engineer the final landforms of the receptor sites, and to re-use colliery spoil, to create conditions for species rich colliery spoil habitats to recolonise the receptor areas, and to implement simple /effective aftercare management. Colliery spoil grassland based on the local seedbank is a diverse, and species rich habitat, of particular value for a range of invertebrate species (including many pollinators). There is also potential to 'design in' the river bank restoration enhancement measures for species such as otter. So therefore, this application does have the ability to deliver effective ecological mitigation (and potential enhancement) in line with LDP policy AW8.

In light of the above comments, it is considered that the proposal is in accord with Policy AW5, AW8 and AW10 of the Local Development Plan.

Landscape Impact,

The site, while being on the valley floor, is publicly visible from a number of directions including public highways, the Sports Centre car park, residential properties and users of the leisure route that is the former mineral railway line.

The applicant, since the original submission, has subsequently advised that RSA (1&2) will now receive significantly less material than originally envisaged, which accordingly lessens any impact. However, there will still be a very visible, very large, mount of earth type material within a countryside location that was previously a well vegetated landscape and, as such forms a very alien feature within the landscape.

The applicant has not made any proposals to landscape the mound(s) due to the temporary nature of its siting. Some limited works are proposed but are predominantly designed in the interest of ecological mitigation.

In reaching a decision, Committee will need to be satisfied that any impact is acceptable, at least for a period of up to 3 years. The residential properties along Station Road and George Street are located closest to the receptor sites and some impact is very evident however, these properties are at a much higher level than the

application site where most views are directly across the valley rather than looking directly at the stockpiled material. It is therefore considered that, while a negative impact is acknowledged, it will not cause significant impact to local residents such as to warrant the refusal of the application. In addition, the outlook is not considered to be any worse that the devastation caused by the landslip which would have had a similar negative impact which, if it hadn't caused so much damage to the river and sewer could have been left in situ much longer than through the Council's intervention.

Accordingly, it is considered that the proposal complies with Policies AW5, AW8 & AW10 of the Local Development Plan.

Public Accessibility

The sites under consideration form part of an attractive walking and cycling route along the line of the former railway. It is part of the SUSTRANS National Cycle Network (Route 881). Due to the activity of construction traffic, this route has had to be temporarily closed, with the land slip effectively doing the same job when the incident occurred in February, albeit to a lesser extent.

Once work is complete and the necessary safety inspections have taken place, the route will again be open to the public. While any closure of a recreation facility it regrettable, especially in these difficult times, it is considered both reasonable and necessary under the circumstances the applicant was faced with.

The Water Environment

As part of the application, the applicant submitted a Flood Consequence Assessment. Amongst other considerations, one of its main aims was to establish what, if any, implications the creation of large earth mounds next to the river would have on land and properties downstream.

The application proposes "less vulnerable" development as defined by TAN15. NRW's Flood Risk Maps confirmed that part of the site is within Zone C2. In their consultation response, NRW have advised "Given that only a small proportion of the material is located within the flood zones and Section 5.1 of the submittred FCA......states that the material will be consolidated and the risk of any movement is minor, we have no objection on flood risk ground to the applications as submitted".

In respect of the potential for land contamination and controlled waters, NRW have "welcomed" the applicant's proposal for further site investigations as outlined in the Receptor Site B Preliminary Sources (Desk) Study Report prepared by Redstart. Recommendations have been made by NRW and subsequently passed on to the applicant.

NRW have expressed "significant concerns" in respect of this development but discussions with them have identified that such concern lies largely outside of the Planning system. They have stated the need (prescribed by TAN15) for the LPA to

consider whether the "less vulnerable" development but within a C2 Flood Zone meets the relevant tests set out in the TAN. The tests are:

"New development should be directed away from zone C and towards suitable land in zone A, otherwise to zone B, where river or coastal flooding will be less of an issue. In zone C the tests outlined in sections 6 and 7 will be applied recognising, however that highly vulnerable development and Emergency Services in zone C2 should not be permitted. All other new development should only be permitted within zones C1 and C2 if determined by the planning authority to be justified in that location. Development, including transport infrastructure, will only be justified if it can be demonstrated that:-

- Its location in zone C is necessary to assist, or be part of, a local authority regeneration initiative or local authority strategy required to sustain an existing settlement; or
- Its location in zone C is necessary to contribute to key employment objectives supported by the local authority, and other key partners, to sustain an existing settlement or region;

and

- It concurs with the aims of PPW and meets the needs of previously developed land and.
- The potential consequences of a flooding event for the particular type of development have been considered and in terms of the criteria contained in sections 5 & 7 and appendix 1 found to be acceptable."

Members will be familiar with these tests which have been reported in such recent applications as the Industrial Unit development at Robertstown and the new Surgery in Mountain Ash.

Members are advised that Planning policies (both national and local) are written so as to require certain information to be carried out prior to the submission of any application where any impacts can first be identified and mitigated. Policies rarely (if at all) allow for the possibility of emergency works to take place nor offer any exemptions in such circumstances. Clearly policies need to be robust enough so as to deter applicants from undertaking developments without first applying for Planning permission however, there are rare occasions, where this simply isn't possible. This is one such case. Failure to carry out the work will have certainly had an adverse effect on the existing settlements in that area and the blocking of the river channel would have had significant consequences if left in situ. Appropriate advice was taken prior to any works taking place and, in the submission of the application, was fully justified in the proposals put forward. The principal (and principle) aim of TAN15 is to avoid flooding, protect the lives of people in such areas and, in undertaking the development, to prevent the possibility of properties downstream of the development to be at a

greater risk of flooding. The applicant has submitted a Flood Consequence Assessment which demonstrates this and NRW have not objected to the information submitted. In light of this, while the development is out of accordance with the tests in TAN15, the consequences of flooding have been appropriately managed and are found to be acceptable.

A Surface Water Drainage Strategy has been submitted to deal with the run-off using SuDS. A separate application has been made to the Council as the SuDS Approving Body (SAB) for approval of the proposed surface water design. Details of this have been submitted with the application. The applicant has stated:

"Whilst the individual stockpiles have been designed and shaped to reflect the local topographic constraints, they have each taken the form of a single batter with a variable height berm tying into the existing hillside. The surface water draining arrangements for all three receptor sites share the following common features:

- A swale drain running along the rear of the as it interfaces with the existing hillside this collects the runoff from the berm face as well as intercepting runoff from the hillside above the berm that would otherwise drain towards the stockpile and directing it around the stockpile.
- A swale drain running along the toe of the batter as it meets the existing ground. This swale collects the runoff from the batter face;
- One or more cascade features that transfer flow from the rear swale down to the level of the toe swale; and
- A culvert connection that collects flow from the above features and directs it to the point of discharge.

The cascade will consist of a steep brick lined channel with a stepped profile similar to another cascade in the area. The final detailed design and materials of this and other drainage features are still to be determined. These will follow the final approved SAB design, therefore the applicant is content for these to be subject to a suitably worded condition in any approval".

No objections have been received from the Council's Drainage Section although the issue of surface water drainage is considered (and regulated) outside of the Planning system.

In light of the above comments, it is considered that the development, in respect of the water environment, complies with the relevant policies (AW5, AW8 & AW10) in the LDP

Transportation Considerations.

The proposals represent a significant increase in the amount of vehicular (largely construction) traffic using this area. The majority of traffic will be active within the site and not using the surrounding public highway due to the proximity of the Receptor

Areas to the slipped material. Should an alternative receptor site have been proposed then there would be a considerable impact on the local highway network with a significant volume of material having no alternative other than to be carried throughout the roads and streets of the surrounding villages. While this could, potentially, be the subject of a future proposal (following the expiry of the temporary period sought), this application makes no such proposal and, accordingly the Council's Transportation Section has no objection to the current proposal.

In the LDP, Policy NSA20 (Major Road Schemes) includes the proposed route of the Upper Rhondda Fach Relief Road, the line of which follows the former railway line adjacent to the application site. A section of route from Port to Pontygwaith was opened in 2006/7 and there are currently no active plans to extend this route to Ferndale. Whilst the prospect of a scheme coming forward within the current plan period is unlikely, the stockpiling is not considered to affect such a proposal and is therefore not considered to be in conflict with this policy.

Accordingly, it is considered that any transport considerations are acceptable and comply with Policy AW5 of the Local Development Plan.

Objection letter

One letter of objection was received as part of this application. This has been summarised as part of the PUBLICITY section and copied for Committee's information as APPENDIX 1. A copy of this letter was also sent to the applicant who has responded to the comments on an issue-by-issue basis.

Members are advised that, while there may have been other options for the removal and storage of the slipped material, the applicant (the Council) has made an application to remove the material to the site identified and, as the Local Planning Authority, Committee must make a determination based on the strengths and weaknesses of the proposed development - rather than considering an alternative. The objector is correct that environmental damage has been done by the removal of trees and preparatory works in anticipation of receiving the tipped material however such work was carried out only after having received appropriate advice. While this may be regrettable, neither the Council's Ecologist or NRW have offered any objection and it is considered that, upon removal or reprofiling of the material, it will be possible to both mitigate and enhance environmental / ecological.

A concern was also expressed that there was a lack of consultation (presumably by the applicant) with adjoining landowners to come up with an acceptable solution. While this is a matter for the applicant, the circumstances surrounding the need to remove the material from the river meant that this was, perhaps, not the most pressing issue. In terms of the Planning process, the application has been advertised in accordance with the Regulations and it is understood that this publicity served its purpose in enabling local residents to make any comments.

A concern was expressed about lack of surveys, ecological mitigation and impact on ancient woodland accompanying the application. Committee is advised that none of the respective consultees requested or required the submission of any additional surveys and the impact on the ancient woodland has been avoided. In light of this, the work submitted with the application is considered acceptable.

The objection letter refers to the insufficient justification for the choice of sites however, this is not a requirement and the application must be considered on its own merits.

The objector has raised an issue in respect of the presence and spread of invasive species. The applicant has prepared an Invasive Plant Species Method Statement to help prevent the spread of such species off site however, due to the nature of the land slip and the emergency works to clear the river channel, it was not possible to check whether the slip material contained any such species. The applicants have advised that the receptor sites will be monitored for the emergence of invasive plant species and a management / eradication regime will be carried out if required.

The objector is also concerned about the approach to groundwater pollution risks arising from the deposited material. Committee is referred to the response given by NRW earlier in this report.

Similarly, concerns in relation to flooding have been made. Again, Committee's attention is drawn to the consultation response from NRW.

Finally, comments are raised in respect of potential future uses, however Committee is advised that these are not a consideration of this application.

Other issues

As part of the application, the Council's Public Health & Protection Section was consulted. No objections were received but "standard" conditions were suggested in respect of noise, dust and other nuisances. While these comments have been noted, Committee is advised that the development is now largely complete. No adverse comments (complaints) have been received in respect of the works so far and, in the absence of a Planning consent, separate legislation exists (outside of the Planning system) to deal with such issues. Accordingly, Committee is advised that the imposition of conditions to this effect are not considered necessary but can be addressed directly (under Public Health legislation) should problems occur. In the final weeks of the operation.

The consultation response from NRW highlights the need for the applicant to have obtained various permits and licenses from NRW as part of this development. Committee is advised that it is not the role of the Planning system to consider issues other than material Planning considerations, which they are not. Accordingly, the report does not make any comments in this respect, but the applicant is aware of potential issues from ongoing discussions with NRW.

Conclusions

The application(s) is(are) relatively simple in what is proposed. It seeks the temporary storage / stockpiling of material resulting from the landslip which occurred in February of 2020. It is clear that the works that are under consideration are both proportionate and necessary given the circumstances of the situation.

The impacts (environmental and otherwise) of the works are not considered to be significant and the retention and completion of the earth movements are considered acceptable.

As the works are largely complete (scheduled to be completed in February) it is not considered that any many additional conditions are necessary other than to define the plans and documents that comprise the proposal (including mitigation) and the duration of the storage of the material (and its subsequent removal / reprofiling). While the works are largely retrospective (for the reasons given earlier in the report)

Committee is required to determine the application on its individual merits and the fact that the majority of the material has already been removed is not considered to be a material planning consideration. Should Committee decide to refuse the application(s) then it will be necessary to remove the material to an alternative location (which would likely require the submission of another planning application)

Committee is advised that there may be some unresolved issues in respect of the respective consenting regimes operated by NRW however these do not impact on the consideration of the planning application(s).

RECOMMENDATION: Grant

- 1. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the Plans & Documents contained within the Design, Access and Planning Statement dated November 2020 unless otherwise to be approved and superseded by details required by any other condition attached to this consent.
 - Reason: To ensure compliance with the approved plans and documents and to clearly define the scope of the permission.
- Within 2 years from the date of the consent, a scheme for the removal / reprofiling of the tipped material (including restoration and environmental mitigation and enhancement measures) shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority. The material shall be removed / reprofiled in accordance with the scheme as may be approved before the expiry of 3 years from the date of this consent and the environmental mitigation and enhancement measures carried out in accordance with the

approved details (unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority).

Reason: To clarify the duration of the consent and to ensure that the site is returned to its original condition or the Local Planning Authority has an opportunity to formally consider an alternative proposal in the interests of Ecology and Visual Amenity in accordance with Policies AW5. AW8 & AW10 of the Rhondda Cynon Taf Local Development Plan.

Within 3 months of the date of this consent, a scheme shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority detailing works to be carried out to the river environment for an improved and enhanced habitat for otters. The scheme shall identify an appropriate timescale for the works to be undertaken and a regime to monitor its effectiveness. The scheme shall be carried out in accordance with any approval.

Reason: The otter is a European Protected Species and it is a requirement where a proposal impacts on such a habitat that, following the development, the habitat is not only maintained but also enhanced, and in the interests of Ecology in accordance with Policy AW8 of the Rhondda Cynon Taf Local Development Plan.

4. Prior to completion of the final (temporary) landform, details of an ecological mitigation and restoration for the receptor sites and riverbank (including timescales) will be submitted to the Local Planning Authority. The works shall be carried out in accordance with any approval and maintained for the duration of the development.

Reason: in the interests of Ecology in accordance with Policy AW8 of the Rhondda Cynon Taf Local Development Plan.